

Abstract

Though very similar in structure, the alpha and beta isoforms of nuclear receptor LXR affect the body in different ways when activated. Through isoform selective ligands, the potential exists to treat a variety of human diseases, including hormone-dependent cancer, atherosclerosis, and Alzheimer's disease. While the structural components that determine alpha selectivity are partially understood, this is not the case for beta selectivity. To better understand LXR isoform binding, four ligands with varying selectivity were analyzed. Important features of beta selective ligand wye-672 were identified through glycine scanning and used to generate novel ligands in a scaffold replacement search. These ligands will be evaluated for their potential to be synthesized for clinical use. Introduction LXR proteins belong to the nuclear receptor superfamily. These ligand-

activated transcription factors are involved in the metabolism of cholesterol and fatty acid, glucose homeostasis, inflammation, and homeostasis. LXR neurological exists in two isoforms, LXRa and LXR β . LXR β is widespread throughout the body, while LXRα is predominately found in the liver, small intestine, and macrophage.



Ligand interaction diagram for wye-672 on 1PQ6 (LXR β).

The role of LXR in regulating cholesterol makes it a promising target for pharmacological treatments of hormone-dependent cancers, skin disorders, Alzheimer's disease, atherosclerosis, inflammation, and diabetes. Though both LXR α and LXR β reduce levels of plasma cholesterol when activated, LXRa also undesirably increases levels of hepatic triglyceride (TG). The development of beta selective ligands is therefore particularly desirable, especially considering most natural ligands are non-selective. The first beta selective ligand to reach human clinical trials, LXR-623, had adverse side affects on the central nervous system. Given LXR^β agonists' potential for the treatment of disease, more investigation is needed to find isoform selective ligands without these side effects.

The structural features that make a ligand beta selective are still not well understood. Examining binding interactions through computational modeling can provide insight into this process and save time and money by screening ligands before synthesis.

The ligands used in our investigation were gorgost-5-ene- 3β , 9α , 11α -triol (gorgost-5); gorgostane- 3β , 9α , 5α , 6β , 11α -tetrol (gorgostane); polycarpol; and wye-672; pictured below.

|orgost-5-ene-3β,**9**α,11α -Non-isoform selective

gorgostane-3β,**9**α,**5**α, **6**β, 11α-tetro LXRa isoform selective



LXRa isoform selectiv



Specific Ligand-Residue Interactions that Lead to Liver X Receptor **Isoform Selectivity**

¹ Department of Biomedical Engineering, Boston University, Boston, MA 02215 ²Department of Engineering, Smith College, Northampton, MA 01063 ³ Department of Chemistry, Xavier University, New Orleans, LA 70125

Methods

MOLECULAR OPERATING ENVIRONMENT (MOE) **Glycine Scanning**

- \circ 3IPQ (LXR α) and 1PQ6 (LXR β) proteins were downloaded from RCSB Protein Data Bank.
- Protein structures were completed using Homology Modeling.
- o 24s, 25-Epoxycholesterol was docked into completed proteins to replace crystal structure ligand (GW3965). o Gorgost-5, gorgostane, polycarpol, and wye-672 were docked using induced fit protocol, dummy atoms as
- the site, and a layer solvent of margin 4.0 Å.
- The pose with the lowest S score and a reasonable orientation was minimized and rescored for each ligand. Poses in which each end of the ligand was positioned near the area of the pocket with corresponding polarity were considered reasonable.
- Glycine scanning was performed on the minimized poses based on the ligand interaction diagrams.

Scaffold Replacement

 \circ Glycine scan results were used to identify structural features of wye-672 critical for ligand binding to LXR β . Scaffold replacement was performed to find alternate ligand structures with these features for their potential in synthetic development.

Results and Discussion

A glycine scan of four different ligands of varying selectivity on LXRa and LXRB indicated the relative importance of several amino acids for ligand binding. The data showed that different amino acids are important for binding in each protein, but did not show a trend based on ligand selectivity. For example, Phe 90/60, Arg 141/111, Leu 264/234, Leu 271/241, and Trp 279/249 all showed greater importance for LXRα than LXRβ regardless of ligand selectivity, but the same amino acids showed a greater difference for nonselective and alpha selective ligands (gorgost-5 and gorgostane) than the beta selective ligand (wye-672) on both proteins. Some amino acids did not play a large role in binding for either protein, such as lle 104/174, Leu 135/105, Thr 150/120, and Leu 275/245.

In general, alpha selective ligand polycarpol seemed to interact to the same degree on both LXRa and LXR^β for each amino acid. This unexpected behavior disrupted any possible trends. In contrast, amino acids differed in importance between LXRα and LXRβ for gorgostane, the other alpha selective ligand. Polycarpol's behavior also differed from that of the non-selective ligand, gorgost-5, which demonstrated distinct amino acid interactions from one protein to another.



Kathryn M. Hardin¹, Susannah L. Davis², Kevin E. Riley, PhD³, Jayalakshmi Sridhar, PhD³



Results and Conclusion

Wye-672—Important Interactions on LXRβ



Amino Acid	Interaction
Phe 121	pi-pi
Met 104	hydrophobic
Phe 63	pi-methyl; hydrophobic
Leu 66	hydrophobic
Ser 70	pi-OH
Leu 122	H-bond bridged by H ₂ O

Six most influential amino acids and their interactions with wve-672.

Scaffold

Results

Though no selectivity trends appeared, this analysis was useful for identifying features on specific ligands. Glycine scanning revealed the six most important amino acids for wye-672 binding to LXRβ. Key structural features of the ligand were identified by examining these interactions. The hydrophilic sulfonyl group and two adjacent aromatic rings, along with the methyl substituent, were found significant to ligand binding and were used as queries during scaffold replacement. Sixty-two ligand structures with these features were generated and docked into LXR β . The

three best performing structures are detailed below and will be further evaluated for possible synthetic development.



Future Work

- Evaluate scaffold replacement results for viability as LXRB selective ligands.
- Perform glycine scanning and scaffold replacement using other beta selective ligands.
- Corroborate results by repeating procedure with alternate starting crystal structures for LXR α and LXR β .
- Perform molecular dynamics simulation to obtain snapshots for

References

Viennois E, Mouzat K, Dufour J, Morel L, Lobaccaro JM, Baron S. Selective liver X receptor modulators (SLiMs): what use in human health? Mol Cell Endocrinol. 2011;351(2):129-41. Matsuda, Takayuki, Ayumu Okuda, Yuichiro Watanabe, Tohru Miura, Hidefumi Ozawa, Ayako Tosaka, Koichi Yamazaki, Yuki Yamaguchi, Sayaka Kurobuchi, Minoru Koura, and Kimiyuk Shibuya. "Design and Discovery of 2-oxochromene Derivatives as Liver X Receptor β selective Agonists." *Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters* 25.6 (2015): 1274-278. Web.

Acknowledgements

This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under the NSF EPSCoR Cooperative Agreement No. EPS-1003897 with additional support from the Louisiana Board of Regents. The authors gratefully acknowledge financial support from the NIH AREA

BOSTON

UNIVERSITY

SMITH COLLEGE